



Press Office of the Constitutional Court

Press release of 26 May 2020

“INDIRECT” INTERCEPTIONS: INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT CANNOT INITIATE JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTES AGAINST THE STATE PROSECUTION

Today, in chambers, the Constitutional Court examined the admissibility of the jurisdictional dispute between State powers initiated by a sitting Member of Parliament against the Prosecutor General of the Supreme Court of Cassation and, as relevant, the Public Prosecutor of the Ordinary Court of Perugia. The Member of Parliament alleged that he had been the target of unlawful, indirect, interception, without prior authorization by the Chamber of Deputies. In addition, he stated that based on the material gained through the interception, the Prosecutor General of the Supreme Court of Cassation had taken disciplinary action against him.

Pending filing of the order, expected for the coming weeks, the Press Office of the Constitutional Court informs the public that the Court has declared the application inadmissible. Indeed, the prerogatives that the Member of Parliament claims were breached pertain exclusively to the Assembly of the Chamber of Deputies – as the Constitutional Court has already consistently and clearly reiterated on previous occasions.

Rome, 26 May 2020